

# Electronic Invoicing as a 'keystone' in the collaboration between companies, banks and PA

## Report 2008 Observatory on Electronic Invoicing and Dematerialisation

School of Management

POLITECNICO DI MILANO



DIPARTIMENTO  
DI INGEGNERIA  
GESTIONALE



May 2008

In collaboration with



Corporate Banking Interbancario

---

# Index

	pages
<b>Introduction</b> <i>by Umberto Bertelè and Andrea Rangone</i>	<b>7</b>
<b>Executive Summary</b> <i>by Alessandro Perego</i>	<b>9</b>
<b>1. Electronic invoicing: the framework of reference</b>	<b>13</b>
□ The various meanings of electronic invoicing	<b>13</b>
□ The “value” of electronic invoicing	<b>15</b>
□ The adoption	<b>17</b>
□ The barriers	<b>19</b>
□ The priorities	<b>21</b>
<b>2. Electronic invoicing models</b>	<b>23</b>
□ The adoption paradigms	<b>23</b>
□ The service fruition modes	<b>28</b>
□ The supply market	<b>32</b>
<b>3. Adoption process</b>	<b>35</b>
□ The diffusion of electronic invoicing models	<b>36</b>
□ The size of investments	<b>39</b>
□ The project sponsorship	<b>40</b>
□ The operational management of projects	<b>42</b>
□ The benefits	<b>42</b>
□ The critical operational features	<b>43</b>
□ The barriers	<b>44</b>
<b>4. Assessment of profitability</b>	<b>63</b>
□ The meta-model	<b>63</b>
□ The analysis of benefits	<b>66</b>
□ The analysis of profitability	<b>70</b>
□ Brief conclusions	<b>82</b>
<b>5. Evolution of the legislative framework</b>	<b>83</b>
□ A summary of the legislative framework	<b>83</b>
□ The open issues	<b>86</b>
□ The electronic invoicing to public sector authorities	<b>90</b>
<b>Note on methodology</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>Workgroup</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>School of Management</b>	<b>101</b>
□ The School of Management of Politecnico di Milano	<b>101</b>
□ <i>ICT &amp; Management</i> Observatories	<b>101</b>
<b>Supporters of Research</b>	<b>103</b>

---

# Index of Figures

	pages
<b>Figure 1.1</b>	The electronic invoicing as a ‘keystone’ in trade process integration <b>14</b>
<b>Figure 1.2</b>	The value tree in electronic invoicing projects <b>15</b>
<b>Figure 1.3</b>	The benefits of total integration and dematerialisation of the trade process in different sectors (measured in €/cycle) <b>16</b>
<b>Figure 1.4</b>	The benefits of electronic invoicing in function of the adoption model (measured in €/cycle) – Consumer goods sector <b>17</b>
<b>Figure 1.5</b>	Some data on the level of adoption in Italy <b>18</b>
<b>Figure 1.6</b>	The barriers to adoption and the priorities for action <b>21</b>
<b>Figure 1.7</b>	The payback time (years) of electronic invoicing projects <b>22</b>
<b>Figure 2.1</b>	The main adoption paradigms <b>23</b>
<b>Figure 2.2</b>	The adoption paradigms: coverage of the trade process <b>25</b>
<b>Figure 2.3</b>	The adoption paradigms: the coverage of the trade process - integration with partners matrix <b>26</b>
<b>Figure 2.4</b>	The service fruition mode <b>28</b>
<b>Figure 2.5</b>	The service fruition modes: electronic invoicing paradigms <b>30</b>
<b>Figure 2.6</b>	The service fruition modes: integration and dematerialisation of the trade process paradigms <b>31</b>
<b>Figure 2.7</b>	The service fruition modes: total integration and dematerialisation of the trade process paradigms <b>31</b>
<b>Figure 2.8</b>	The various supply worlds <b>32</b>
<b>Figure 2.9</b>	The services offered by the main classes of player <b>33</b>
<b>Figure 3.1</b>	The characteristics of the user company panel <b>35</b>
<b>Figure 3.2</b>	The diffusion of the adoption paradigms <b>36</b>
<b>Figure 3.3</b>	The diffusion of the service fruition modes <b>37</b>
<b>Figure 3.4</b>	The “service fruition modes - paradigms” matrix (parameter: project progress) <b>38</b>
<b>Figure 3.5</b>	The “service fruition modes - paradigms” matrix (parameter: company size) <b>38</b>
<b>Figure 3.6</b>	The amount of the investment <b>39</b>
<b>Figure 3.7</b>	The “paradigms - amount of the investment” matrix <b>40</b>
<b>Figure 3.8</b>	The project promoter function <b>41</b>
<b>Figure 3.9</b>	The “paradigms - project sponsorship” matrix <b>41</b>
<b>Figure 3.10</b>	The operational management of projects <b>42</b>
<b>Figure 3.11</b>	The benefits obtained <b>43</b>
<b>Figure 3.12</b>	Some critical issues reported by user companies <b>44</b>
<b>Figure 3.13</b>	The adoption barriers <b>45</b>
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	The limits of the analysis <b>66</b>
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	The main investment categories and current costs <b>67</b>
<b>Figure 4.3</b>	The structure of the profitability assessment model <b>68</b>
<b>Figure 4.4</b>	The benefits of total integration and dematerialisation. Percentage reduction of the cycle cost <b>69</b>
<b>Figure 4.5</b>	The benefits of total integration and dematerialisation. Absolute reduction of the cycle cost <b>69</b>
<b>Figure 4.6</b>	The determinants of the absolute cycle cost and the positioning of the sectors <b>69</b>
<b>Figure 4.7</b>	The benefits in function of the level of integration in the consumer goods sector <b>70</b>
<b>Figure 4.8</b>	The benefits of total integration and dematerialisation. Break-down between ideal cycle cost and non-conformity cost <b>70</b>

---

<b>Figure 4.9</b>	The benefits in function of the level of integration in the consumer goods sector. Break-down between ideal cycle cost and non-conformity cost	<b>71</b>
<b>Figure 4.10</b>	The benefits of total integration and dematerialisation. Break-down between customer and supplier	<b>71</b>
<b>Figure 4.11</b>	The profitability analysis: the main variables	<b>73</b>
<b>Figure 4.12</b>	The company parameters used for the profitability analysis	<b>73</b>
<b>Figure 4.13</b>	The sensitivity scenarios	<b>73</b>
<b>Figure 4.14</b>	An example application of the models: medium size company and in house management	<b>74</b>
<b>Figure 4.15</b>	The analysis of payback time: medium sensitivity scenario	<b>75</b>
<b>Figure 4.16</b>	The analysis of payback time: pessimistic sensitivity scenario	<b>76</b>
<b>Figure 4.17</b>	The payback time in function of the level of integration	<b>76</b>
<b>Figure 4.18</b>	The payback time in function of the level of integration: the customer/ supplier view	<b>77</b>
<b>Figure 4.19</b>	The analysis of the NPV/cycle: medium sensitivity scenario	<b>78</b>
<b>Figure 4.20</b>	The NPV in function of the level of integration	<b>79</b>
<b>Figure 4.21</b>	Some examples of progressive adoption	<b>80</b>
<b>Figure 4.22</b>	The effect of the level of financial activity on payback time: in house management	<b>80</b>
<b>Figure 4.23</b>	The effect of the level of financial activity on payback time: outsourced management	<b>81</b>
<b>Figure 4.24</b>	The effect of company size on NPV in the various service fruition modes	<b>82</b>
<b>Figure 4.25</b>	The convenience domain of the service fruition modes	<b>82</b>
<b>Figure 4.26</b>	The four contexts examined	<b>83</b>
<b>Figure 4.27</b>	The profitability of total integration and dematerialisation projects in the four contexts examined	<b>83</b>
<b>Figure 5.1</b>	The legislative framework regarding electronic invoicing in the EU	<b>91</b>
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	The legislative framework regarding electronic invoicing outside the EU	<b>91</b>